

EXHIBIT L

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

IN RE WILLIAMS SECURITIES
LITIGATION

This Document Relates To: WMB Subclass

Case No. 02-CV-72-SPF-FHM

Lead Case

Judge Stephen P. Friot

**DECLARATION OF KAREN HANSON RIEBEL
IN SUPPORT OF JOINT PETITION FOR
ATTORNEYS' FEES AND REIMBURSEMENT OF LITIGATION EXPENSES
FILED ON BEHALF OF LOCKRIDGE GRINDAL NAUEN P.L.L.P.**

I, Karen Hanson Riebel, hereby declare as follows:

1. I am a member of the law firm of Lockridge Grindal Nauen P.L.L.P. I respectfully submit this affidavit in support of my firm's application for an award of attorneys' fees in connection with services rendered in this case, as well as the reimbursement of expenses incurred by my firm in connection with this litigation.

2. Prior to the appointment of BLBG as Lead Counsel, my firm participated in the review of documents produced by defendants and non-parties and in other aspects of prosecuting this case under the direction of prior co-lead counsel Schoengold Sporn Laitman & Lometti, P.C.

3. The schedule attached hereto as Exhibit 1 is a detailed summary indicating the amount of time spent by the partners, attorneys and professional support staff of my firm who were involved in this litigation, and the lodestar calculation based on my firm's current billing rates. For personnel who are no longer employed by my firm, the lodestar calculation is based upon the billing rates for such personnel in his or her final year of employment by my firm. The schedule was prepared from contemporaneous, daily time records regularly prepared and

maintained by my firm, which are available at the request of the Court. Time expended in preparing this application for fees and reimbursement of expenses has not been included in this request.

4. The hourly rates for the partners, attorneys and professional support staff in my firm included in Exhibit 1 are the same as the regular current rates charged for their services in non-contingent matters and/or which have been accepted and approved in other securities or shareholder litigation.

5. The total number of hours expended on this litigation by my firm is 265.50. The total lodestar for my firm is \$92,548.75, consisting of \$83,758.75 for attorneys' time and \$8,790.00 for professional support staff time.

6. My firm's lodestar figures are based upon the firm's billing rates, which rates do not include charges for expense items. Expense items are billed separately and such charges are not duplicated in my firm's billing rates.

7. As detailed in Exhibit 2, my firm has incurred a total of \$3,270.80 in unreimbursed expenses in connection with the prosecution of this litigation.

8. The expenses incurred in this action are reflected on the books and records of my firm. These books and records are prepared from expense vouchers, check records and other source materials and represent an accurate recordation of the expenses incurred.

9. Attached hereto as Exhibit 3 is a brief biography of my firm and attorneys in my firm who were principally involved in this litigation.



Karen Hanson Riebel

EXHIBIT 1

In re Williams Securities Litigation
Case No. 02-CV-72-SPF-FHM

LOCKRIDGE GRINDAL NAUEN P.L.L.P.

TIME REPORT

Inception through December 2006

Timekeeper (Attorney, Paralegal, law clerk, etc.)	Title	Current Hourly Rate	Total Hours to Date	Total Lodestar to Date
Richard A. Lockridge	Partner	\$575.00	11.50	\$6,612.50
Karen Hanson Riebel	Partner	\$450.00	70.75	\$31,837.50
Gregg M. Fishbein	Partner	\$450.00	13.00	\$5,850.00
Gregory J. Myers	Associate	\$350.00	96.50	\$33,775.00
Katheryn A. Anderson	Associate	\$325.00	7.50	\$2,437.50
David W. Huart	Associate	\$265.00	12.25	\$3,246.25
Attorney Subtotals:			211.50	\$83,758.75
Robert J. Linsmier	Paralegal	\$165.00	51.00	\$8,415.00
Heather N. Potteiger	Paralegal	\$125.00	3.00	\$375.00
Paralegal Subtotals:			54.00	\$8,790.00
GRAND TOTAL			265.50	\$92,548.75

EXHIBIT 2

In re Williams Securities Litigation
Case No. 02-CV-72-SPF-FHM

LOCKRIDGE GRINDAL NAUEN P.L.L.P.

EXPENSE REPORT
Inception through December 2006

Expense Description	Total Amount
Ad Publication Fee	\$970.00
Assessments	
Computer Legal Research	\$685.25
Consulting Fees	
Copying	\$967.05
Filing Fees	\$100.00
Postage/Express Delivery/Messenger	\$395.91
Telephone and Fax	\$152.59
Travel	
TOTAL	\$3,270.80

EXHIBIT 3

LOCKRIDGE
GRINDAL
NAUEN
P. L. L. P.

Attorneys at Law

Founded in 1978, Lockridge Grindal Nauen P.L.L.P. has extensive experience in antitrust, securities, environmental, employment, health care, commercial, intellectual property and telecommunications law.

Our clients include agri-businesses, business enterprises, banks, local governments, trade and industry associations, real estate developers, telecommunications providers, health care professionals, casualty insurers, publishers and authors, and a major computer manufacturer and retailer.

Lockridge Grindal Nauen attorneys are assisted by more than 20 paralegals and government relations specialists, and an extensive support staff. The firm has offices in Minneapolis, Minnesota and Washington, D.C.

Richard A. Lockridge

Richard A. Lockridge is a partner in Lockridge Grindal Nauen P.L.L.P. He is a graduate of the University of Iowa Law School (J.D., with high distinction, 1974) where he served as Managing Editor of the Iowa Law Review. Thereafter, from 1974 to 1976, he served as a law clerk to the Honorable Myron H. Bright of the United States Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit. From 1976 to 1978 he handled civil litigation as a Minnesota Special Assistant Attorney General.

During more than twenty five years of practice, Mr. Lockridge has been continuously active in class action and other complex litigation, including the following: lead or co-lead

counsel in In re Select Comfort Corporation Securities Litigation, Master File No. 99-884 (D. Minn.); In re Baycol Productions Litigation, MDL No. 1431 (D. Minn.); In re Monosodium Glutamate Antitrust Litigation, MDL No. 1328 (D. Minn.); In Re Lutheran Brotherhood Variable Insurance Products Co. Sales Practices Litigation, MDL No. 1309 (D. Minn.); In re Methionine Antitrust Litigation, MDL No. 1311 (N.D. Cal.); Gary Meyers v. The Guardian Life Insurance Company of America, Inc. Litigation, Civil No. 2:97CV35-D-B (N.D. Miss.); Alan B. Spitz and Linda Spitz, and Ann Novacheck v. Connecticut General Life Insurance Company, MDL No. 1136 (C.D. Cal.); In re Summit Medical Systems, Inc. Securities Litigation, Master File No. 97-558 (D. Minn.); In re Digi International Inc. Securities Litigation, Master File No. 97-5 (D. Minn.); In re Catfish Antitrust Litigation, MDL No. 928 (N.D. Miss.); Benacquisto, et al. v. American Express Financial Corp. et al., Master File No. 00-1980 (D. Minn.), Civil Action No. 96-18477 (Hennepin County District Court Minn.) (insurance class action); Gary G. Smith, et al. v. Little Caesar Enterprises, Inc., et al. (Little Caesar Franchise Litigation), Civil No. 93 CV 74041 DT (E.D. Mich.); In re Piper Funds, Inc. Institutional Government Income Portfolio Litigation, Master File No. 3-94-587 (D. Minn.); In re Residential Doors Antitrust Litigation, MDL No. 1039 (E.D. Pa.); In re Carpet Antitrust Litigation, MDL No. 1075 (N.D. GA); In re LaserMaster Technologies, Inc. Securities Litigation, Master File No. 4-95-631 (D. Minn.); Richard J. Rodney, Jr., et al. v. KPMG Peat Marwick, 4-95-CIV-800 (D. Minn.); In re Citi-Equity Group, Inc. Securities Litigation, Master File No. 3-94-1024 (D. Minn.); Lockwood Motors, Inc., et al. v. General Motors Corporation, Master File No. 3-94-1141 (D. Minn.); David L. Antonson, et al. v. Leon H. Robertson, et al. (American Carriers Securities Litigation) Civ. No. 88-2567 (D. Kan.); In re Steel Drums Antitrust Litigation, MDL No. 887 (S.D. Ohio); In re New Steel Pails Antitrust Litigation, Master File No. C-1-91-213 (S.D. Ohio); In re Unisys

Savings Plan Litigation, Master File No. 91-3067 (E.D. Pa.); and George Guenther, et al. v. Cooper Life Sciences, et al. (Cooper Life Sciences Securities Litigation), C 89-1823 MHP (N.D. Cal.).

Mr. Lockridge also is or has been involved in the following litigation: In re Worldcom, Inc. Securities Litigation No. 02-CV-3288 (S.D.N.Y.); Haritos, et al. v. American Express Financial Advisors, Inc., 02-2255-PHX-PGR (D. Ariz.); In re AOL Time Warner Securities Litigation, MDL No. 1500 (S.D.N.Y.); In re Vioxx Product Liability Litigation, MDL No.1657 (E.D. La.); In re Delphi Corporation Securities, ERISA, and Shareholder Derivative Litigation, Master Case No. 05-md-1725 (E.D. Mich.); In re Merck & Co., Inc., Securities, Derivative & ERISA Litigation, 3:050cv-1151 (D.N.J.); In re Scientific-Atlanta, Inc. Securities Litigation, No. 1:01-CV-1950 (N.D. Ga.); In re OM Group, Inc. Securities Litigation, No. 1:02 CV 2163 (N.D. Ohio); Ohio Public Employees Retirement System, et al. v. Freddie Mac, et al., MDL No. 1584 (S.D.N.Y.) (Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation Securities Litigation); In re Federal National Mortgage Association Securities, Derivative and ERISA Litigation, MDL No. 1668 (D. D.C.); Rodney v. OCA, Inc., et al., No. 05-2219 (E.D. La.); In re Telxon Securities Litigation, No. 5:98-CV-2876 (N.D. Ohio); In re Retek, Inc. Securities Litigation, Master File No. 02-4209 (D. Minn.); Aviva Partners, LLC, v. Navarre Corp., et al., Master File No. 05-1151 (D. Minn.); In re Chronimed Inc., Securities Litigation, Master File No. 01-1092 (D. Minn.); Glen Lewy 1990 Trust v. Investment Advisers, Inc., et al., No. CT-00-17047 (Henn. Cty. Dist. Ct.); Fink v. Rainforest Café, No. MC 00-451 (Henn. Cty. Dist. Ct.); Crosby v. Aid Association for Lutherans, Master File No. 00-CV-2112 (D. Minn.); In re Vioxx Litigation, MDL 1657 (E.D. LA); In re Rezulin Litigation, MDL 1348 (S.D. N.Y.); In re Serzone Productions Liability

Litigation, MDL No. 1477 (S.D.W.V.); In re Tamoxifen Citrate Antitrust Litigation, MDL No. 1408 (E.D. N.Y.); In re Western Union Money Transfer Litigation, Master File No. CV 01 0335 (E.D.N.Y.); In re Meridia Products Liability Litigation, MDL No. 1481 (N. D. Ohio); In Re Propulsid Products Liability Litigation, MDL No. 1355 (E.D. LA); In re Flat Glass Antitrust Litigation, MDL No. 1200 (W.D. PA); Chemical Distribution, Inc., et al. v. Akzo Nobel Chemicals, et al., MDL No. 1226 (N.D. Cal.); Durocher v. American Family Life Insurance Co., Case No. 97-CV-292 (Marinette Co. Dist.); In re Milk Products Antitrust Litigation, Master File No. 3-96-458 (D. Minn.); Marksman Partners, L.P., et al. v. Chantal Pharmaceutical Corporation, et al., Master File No. CV-96-0872-WJR (C.D. Cal.); In re Connecticut General Life Insurance Co. Premium Litigation, MDL No. 1336 (C.D. Cal.); In re Lease Oil Antitrust Litigation, MDL No. 1166 (S.D. Tex.); In re Tricord Systems, Inc. Securities Litigation, Master File No. 3-94-746 (D. Minn.); In re Riscorp, Inc. Securities Litigation, Master File No. CV-96-2374-CIV-T-23A (M.D. Fla.); In re Nasdaq Market-Maker Antitrust Litigation, MDL No. 1023 (S.D.N.Y.); John S. Lawrence v. Philip Morris Companies, Inc., et al. (Philip Morris Securities Litigation), Civ. No. 94-1494 (E.D.N.Y.); Leetate Smith, et al. v. Merrill Lynch & Co., et al. (Orange County Bond Litigation), No. SACV-94-1063-LHM(EEEx) (C.D. Cal.); Nelsen v. Craig-Hallum (Craig-Hallum Securities Litigation), Master File No. 4-86-135 (D. Minn.); Johnson v. Kives (K-Tel Securities Litigation), Master File No. 4-85-1216 (D. Minn.); In re Endotronics Securities Litigation, Master File No. 4-87-130 (D. Minn.); In re Wirebound Box Antitrust Litigation, MDL No. 793 (D. Minn.); American Telephone and Telegraph Antitrust Litigation, Civil Action No. 81-2623 (D.D.C.); In re Domestic Air Transportation Antitrust Litigation, MDL No. 861 (N.D. Ga.); In re PaineWebber Securities Litigation, 86 Civ. 6776 (S.D.N.Y.), In re ICN/Viratek Securities Litigation, 87 Civ. 4296 (S.D.N.Y.); In re Bioplasty Securities Litigation, Master File

No. 4-91-689 (D. Minn.); Low Density Polyethylene Resin Antitrust Litigation, No. 82 Civ. 1093 (S.D.N.Y.); Dixie Brewing Company, Inc. v. John Barth, Inc. (In re Hops Antitrust Litigation), Civ. No. 8404434 (E.D. Pa.); Steven S. Mitchell v. Thousand Trails, Inc. (Thousand Trails Security Litigation), Civ. No. C86-146 (W.D. Wash.); and Spencer v. Comserv Corporation (Comserv Securities Litigation), Master File No. 4-84-794 (D. Minn.).

Mr. Lockridge acted as co-lead defense counsel for the target defendant in Mid-State Oil v. Simonson Oil (price fixing) Civil No. A3-79-18 (D.N.D.); plaintiff's counsel in Superlines Co. v. E.W. Wylie Corp., 1981-2 Trade Cases (CCH) (D. Minn. 1981); and one of the defense counsel in Ray Adduono v. World Hockey Association, Master File No. 3-82-586 (D. Minn.).

Mr. Lockridge spent one year in Houston, Texas working on the trial of the Corrugated Container Antitrust Litigation, MDL No. 310 (S.D. Tex), a case which resulted in one of the largest verdicts (in excess of \$1 billion) ever awarded by a jury in antitrust litigation.

Mr. Lockridge also was one of the attorneys who successfully represented West Publishing Company in a monopolization and attempted monopolization case brought by West against Mead Corporation (the then owner of "LEXIS"), and in a monopolization and attempted monopolization case brought by Mead against West (the "LEXIS v. Westlaw" antitrust cases).

Gregg M. Fishbein

Gregg M. Fishbein is a partner in the Lockridge Grindal Nauen P.L.L.P. firm. He is a 1989 graduate (J.D., with honors) of Drake University Law School. He graduated from the University of Northern Iowa (B.A. Accounting) in 1986 and is a Certified Public Accountant. He was admitted to the bar in 1989, Minnesota, U.S. District Court, District of Minnesota and United States Tax Court. In 1995, he was admitted to the bar for United States Court of Appeals

for the Eighth Circuit. He is a member of the Federal Bar Association, Minnesota State Bar Association, and Hennepin County Bar Association.

Since joining the firm in July of 1994, Mr. Fishbein has specialized in class action and other complex commercial litigation. During the past nine years of practice, he has been continuously active in class and complex litigation, including representation of plaintiff classes. He has worked on, among others, the following complex class action matters: Glen Lewy 1990 Trust, et al. v. Investment Advisers, Inc., et al., Civil File No. CT 00-17047 (D. Minn.); In re Chronimed, Inc. Securities Litigation, Master File No. 01-CV-1092 (D. Minn.); Rainforest Café, Inc. v. State of Wisconsin Investment Board, et al., Case No. A03-0813 (D. Minn.); In re American Express Financial Advisors Securities Litigation, Civil Action No. 1:04-CV-1773 (S.D.N.Y.); In re OM Group, Inc. Securities Litigation, 1:02 CV 2163 (N.D. Ohio); In re Worldcom, Inc. Securities Litigation 02-CV-3288 DLC (S.D.N.Y.); Fink v. Rainforest Café, File No. MC 00-451 (Henn. Cty. Dist. Ct.); Freberg v. Merrill Corp., et al., Civ. No. 99-10063 (Henn. Cty. Dist. Ct.); Guenther, et al. v. Cooper Life Sciences, et al., No. C-89-1823-MHP (N.D. Cal.); Harris v. Hospital Corporation of America, No. 3-89-0995 (M.D. Tenn.); In re Ancor Communications, Inc. Securities Litigation, File No. 97-1696 (D. Minn.); In re Boston Chicken, Inc. Securities Litigation, Civ. No. 97-WM-1308 (D. Col.); In re Buffets, Inc. Shareholders Litigation, File No. 19-CV-00-8130 (Dak. Cty. Dist. Ct.); In re Ceridian Corp. Securities Litigation, File No. 97-2044 (D. Minn.); In re Citi-Equity Group, Inc. Securities Litigation, File No. 3-94-1024 (D. Minn.); In re Destron Fearing Securities Litigation, File No. 99-CV-137 (D. Minn.); In re Digi International Inc. Securities Litigation, File No. 97-5 (D. Minn.); In re Equisure, Inc. Securities Litigation, File No. 97-2056 (D. Minn.); In re GT Interactive Software Corp. et al., File No. 98-CV-0085 (S.D. N.Y.); In re IKON Office Solutions, Inc. Securities

Litigation, File No. 98-CV-4286 (E.D. Pa.); In re Iomega Securities Litigation, File No. 98-CV-00185 (D. N.J.); In re K-Tel Securities Litigation, File No. 98-2520 (D. Minn.); In re Mara Fund Securities Litigation, File No. 97-8603-CIV (D. Fla.); In re LaserMaster Technologies, Inc. Securities Litigation, File No. 4-95-631 (D. Minn.); In re Oxford Health Plans, Inc. Securities Litigation, File No. 3-97-CV-2567 (D. Conn.); In re Navarre Corp. Securities Litigation, File No. 99-CV-1955 (D. Minn.); In re Photran Corp. Securities Litigation, File No. 97-1138 (D. Minn.); In re Piper Funds, Inc. Institutional Government Income Portfolio Litigation, File No. 3-94-587 (D. Minn.); In re Reliance Group Holdings, Inc. Securities Litigation, File No. 00-CV-4653 (S.D. N.Y.); In re Safety-Kleen Corp. Securities Litigation, MDL 1378; In re Select Comfort Corp. Securities Litigation, Civil No. 99-884 (D. Minn.); In re Summit Medical Systems, Inc. Securities Litigation, File No. 97-558 (D. Minn.); In re Tricord Systems Inc. Securities Litigation, File No. 3-94-746 (D. Minn.); John S. Lawrence, et al. v. Philip Morris Co., et al., File No. CV-94-1494 (E.D. N.Y.); McFarlin v. Ernst & Young, LLP, File No. 99-CV-553 (D. Minn.); Richard J. Rodney, Jr., et al. v. KPMG Peat Marwick, 4-95-CV-800 (D. Minn.); Russell v. Spanlink Communications, Inc., et al., File No. 00-CV-945 (D. Minn.); Leetate Smith, et al. v. Merrill Lynch & Co., et al., File No. SACV-94-1063-LHM (C.D. Cal.) (Orange County Bond Litigation); and Wright v. Engineering Animation Inc., et al., Civ. No. 4-99-CV-10590 (S.D. Ia.).

Karen Hanson Riebel

Karen Hanson Riebel is a partner in Lockridge Grindal Nauen P.L.L.P. A 1991 dual-degree program graduate (J.D., B.A., Cum Laude) from the Boston University School of Law and the Boston University College of Liberal Arts, Ms. Riebel joined the firm in 1992. During her tenure at the firm, she has been extensively involved in a number of complex class action matters including: In re Worldcom, Inc. Securities Litigation No. 02-CV-3288 (S.D.N.Y.); In re

AOL Time Warner Securities Litigation, MDL No. 1500 (S.D.N.Y.); In re Initial Public Offering Securities Litigation, 21 MC 92 (S.D.N.Y.); In re Scientific-Atlanta, Inc. Securities Litigation, No. 1:01-CV-1950 (N.D. Ga.); In re OM Group, Inc. Securities Litigation, No. 1:02 CV 2163 (N.D. Ohio); Ohio Public Employees Retirement System, et al. v. Freddie Mac, et al., MDL No. 1584 (S.D.N.Y.) (Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation Securities Litigation); In re Federal National Mortgage Association Securities, Derivative and ERISA Litigation, MDL No. 1668 (D. D.C.); In re Delphi Corporation Securities, ERISA, and Shareholder Derivative Litigation, Master Case No. 05-md-1725 (E.D. Mich.); In re Merck & Co., Inc., Securities, Derivative & ERISA Litigation, 3:050cv-1151 (D.N.J.); In re Select Comfort Corporation Securities Litigation, Master File No. 99-884 (D. Minn.); In Re Lutheran Brotherhood Variable Insurance Products Co. Sales Practices Litigation, MDL No. 1309 (D. Minn.); Rodney v. OCA, Inc., et al., No. 05-2219 (E.D. La.); Danis v. USN Communications, Inc., et al., No. 98 C 7482 (N.D. Ill.); In re Digi International Inc. Securities Litigation, Master File No. 97-5 (D. Minn.); In re Summit Medical Systems, Inc. Securities Litigation, Master File No. 97-558 (D. Minn.); In re LaserMaster Technologies, Inc. Securities Litigation, Master File No. 4-95-631 (D. Minn.); In re Ancor Communications, Inc. Securities Litigation, Master File No. 97-1696 (D. Minn.); In re Ceridian Corp. Securities Litigation, Master File No. 97-2044 (D. Minn.); In re K-Tel Securities Litigation, Master File No. 98-2520 (D. Minn.); In re Riscorp, Inc. Securities Litigation, Master File No. CV-96-2374-CIV-T-23A (M.D. Fla.); In re Lease Oil Antitrust Litigation, MDL No. 1166 (S.D. Tex.); In re Wirebound Box Antitrust Litigation, MDL No. 793 (D. Minn.); David L. Antonson, et al. v. Leon H. Robertson, et al. (American Carriers Securities Litigation), Civ. No. 88-2567 (D. Kan.); In re Tonka II Securities Litigation, Civ. No. 3-90-318 (D. Minn.); In re Catfish Antitrust Litigation, MDL No. 928 (D. Miss.); In re ICN/Viratek Securities Litigation, 87

Civ. 4296 (S.D.N.Y.); Joseph D. Chutich v. Green Tree Acceptance, Inc., Master File No. 3-88-869 (D. Minn.); and Richard J. Rodney, Jr., et al. v. KPMG Peat Marwick, Master File No. 4-95-800 (D. Minn.).

During the summer of 1996, Ms. Riebel spent three months in New York as a member of the team of lawyers that tried the In re ICN/Viratek Securities Litigation matter in the Southern District of New York before the Honorable Kimba Wood. The case was settled for \$14.5 million after the jury returned a partial verdict.

Ms. Riebel spent seven months in Anchorage, Alaska, in 1994, as a member of the trial team that secured a jury verdict for punitive damages in the amount of \$5 billion for a mandatory punitive damages class. In re The Exxon Valdez, Case No. A89-0095-CV (D. Alaska). For their efforts, Ms. Riebel and the other members of the trial team were awarded the Trial Lawyers' For Public Justice Trial Lawyers of the Year award in 1994. In addition, Ms. Riebel is extensively involved in the administration and evaluation of the more than 50,000 claims submitted in that litigation.

Gregory J. Myers

Gregory J. Myers is an associate in the Lockridge Grindal Nauen P.L.L.P. firm. He is a 1998 graduate (J.D., Magna Cum Laude) of William Mitchell College of Law. He graduated from Marquette University (B.A. Journalism) in 1990. He was admitted to the practice in Minnesota in the United States District Court, District of Minnesota in 1998. He is a member of the Federal Bar Association and the Minnesota State Bar Association. He is an Adjunct Professor of Legal Writing at William Mitchell College of Law.

Mr. Myers has specialized in class action and other complex commercial litigation. He has worked on, among others, the following complex class action matters: In Re Photran Corp.

Securities Litigation, Master File No. 97-1138 (D. Minn.); In Re Digi International Inc. Securities Litigation, Master File No. 97-5 (D. Minn.); In Re LaserMaster Technologies, Inc. Securities Litigation, Master File No. 4-95-631 (D. Minn.); Danis v. USN Communications, Inc., et al., No. 98 C 7482 (N.D. Ill.); In re Ikon Office Solutions, Inc. Securities Litigation, Master File No. 98-cv-4286 (E.D. Pa.); In re Navarre Corp. Securities Litigation, File No. 99-CV-1955 (D. Minn.); In re Select Comfort Corporation Securities Litigation, 99-884 (DSD/JMM) (D. Minn.); In re Summit Medical Systems, Inc. Securities Litigation, File No. 97-558 (D. Minn.); In re AOL Time Warner, Inc. Securities Litigation, 02 Civ. 5575 (S.D. N.Y.) and In re Telxon Securities Litigation, No. 5:98-CV-2876 (N.D. Ohio).